



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,345                             | 07/14/2006    | Johannes Reinmuller  | WEICKM-0061         | 2694             |
| 23599                                  | 7590          | 05/26/2010           |                     |                  |
| MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. |               |                      |                     |                  |
| 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.                   |               |                      |                     |                  |
| SUITE 1400                             |               |                      |                     |                  |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22201                    |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER                               | PAPER NUMBER  |                      |                     |                  |
| GOON, SCARLETT Y                       |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT                               | PAPER NUMBER  |                      |                     |                  |
|  | 1623          |                      |                     |                  |
| NOTIFICATION DATE                      | DELIVERY MODE |                      |                     |                  |
| 05/26/2010                             | ELECTRONIC    |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwbz.com

|   |                                      |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/586,345 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>REINMULLER ET AL. |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>SCARLETT GOON     | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1623                  |

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** pending(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Csaba Henter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2010**Time:** 1:26 pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*see below*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Henter to discuss potential allowable subject matter. The Examiner indicated that the claimed method comprising administration of hyaluronic acid in both crosslinked and uncrosslinked form may be patentable if Applicants can provide evidence of unexpected results. Specifically, the Applicants needed to show that the combination exhibited results superior to either crosslinked or uncrosslinked hyaluronic acid alone, as well as results that showed more than an additive effect of the two combined. Mr. Henter indicated that the Applicants did not have the results readily available. The Examiner indicated that an Office Action will be issued then and Applicants' evidence can be submitted along with their response to the next Office Action.